

## RUPTURE TESTING FOR GLOVES

### BACKGROUND OF THE INVENTION

5

The invention relates to a methodology for testing the rupture strength of surgical gloves.

10  
15  
20  
25  
30  
35  
40  
45  
50  
55  
60  
65  
70  
75  
80  
85  
90  
95  
100  
105  
110  
115  
120  
125  
130  
135  
140  
145  
150  
155  
160  
165  
170  
175  
180  
185  
190  
195  
200  
205  
210  
215  
220  
225  
230  
235  
240  
245  
250  
255  
260  
265  
270  
275  
280  
285  
290  
295  
300  
305  
310  
315  
320  
325  
330  
335  
340  
345  
350  
355  
360  
365  
370  
375  
380  
385  
390  
395  
400  
405  
410  
415  
420  
425  
430  
435  
440  
445  
450  
455  
460  
465  
470  
475  
480  
485  
490  
495  
500  
505  
510  
515  
520  
525  
530  
535  
540  
545  
550  
555  
560  
565  
570  
575  
580  
585  
590  
595  
600  
605  
610  
615  
620  
625  
630  
635  
640  
645  
650  
655  
660  
665  
670  
675  
680  
685  
690  
695  
700  
705  
710  
715  
720  
725  
730  
735  
740  
745  
750  
755  
760  
765  
770  
775  
780  
785  
790  
795  
800  
805  
810  
815  
820  
825  
830  
835  
840  
845  
850  
855  
860  
865  
870  
875  
880  
885  
890  
895  
900  
905  
910  
915  
920  
925  
930  
935  
940  
945  
950  
955  
960  
965  
970  
975  
980  
985  
990  
995

Gloves are used to insure a sanitary environment, particularly in the medical profession. The use of gloves prevents communicable diseases from spreading between a patient and a health care provider. It is important that the gloves do not rip or rupture since such an event renders the gloves useless. For purposes of product development and quality assurance, the penetration depth by a blunt object before failure and rupture strength of the gloves must be known with some degree of certainty. A test for determining the penetration depth by a blunt object and rupture strength of a glove should be easy to conduct and provide accurate results.

It is necessary to have a test for measuring the penetration depth of a blunt object of a film sample at failure.

It is also necessary to have a test that reliably and quantitatively measures the rupture strength of gloves.

It is an object of the invention to provide a simple accurate means for testing the penetration depth of a blunt object at failure for film samples such as gloves.

It is another object of the invention to measure the penetration depth and force applied to a film sample at failure to calculate the rupture strength.

It is yet another object of the invention to provide a test for the penetration depth of a blunt object that is easy to administer.

It is yet another object of the invention to provide an apparatus for testing latex film that is reliable and inexpensive to use.

These and other objects of the invention will become apparent after reading the disclosure of the invention.

SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION

An apparatus for measuring the penetration depth of a blunt object before failure of a film sample, such as a glove. Failure occurs when a film sample secured to a column ruptures from the force applied by a stylus. The penetration depth of the blunt object is equal to the distance traveled by the stylus under the method of the invention. With the measurement of the penetration depth and the force applied by the stylus, a quantitative measurement of the rupture strength of the film sample is obtained.

### BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE DRAWINGS

FIGURE 1 is a side view of the column and stylus of the apparatus;

FIGURE 2 is a top view of the column of the apparatus; and

FIGURE 3 is a view of the device in use.

### DETAILED DESCRIPTION OF THE INVENTION

The apparatus for testing the rupture strength of a sample from a glove is depicted in Figure 1. The device uses a column 15 for holding the sample and a stylus 25 for applying force to the sample. The cylindrical column measures at least 160 mm and is fastened to a non-movable base. In the preferred embodiment, the opening of the column has a diameter of 30 mm. The column is placed beneath a moving carriage which holds a projectile stylus having a blunt end. The stylus is the object that ruptures the sample and in the preferred embodiment has a diameter of at least 7 mm and a length greater than the column. The stylus is connected to the movable carriage by a connection similar to a drill bit socket. The socket is preferably adjustable to hold styluses of different diameters. Figure 2 shows a top view of the column 15 where a hole 17 in the bottom of the column is seen. The hole is approximately 1 cm in diameter and is necessary for vacuum protection.

Any part of a latex or natural rubber latex (NRL) film or glove can be used as a sample. The section of glove used has an effect on the set-up and testing which is depicted in Figure 3. For testing the finger portion of a glove, a finger is cut from the glove at or just above the palm-finger intersection. The cut section 35 is then extended over a column creating a nipple. The finger is fastened to the outside of the column with fine wire 19 and the distance from the base of the nipple to the top of the column is regulated to be consistent.

The stylus 25, secured to the movable carriage, is then positioned at the base of the nipple. The base is the closest region to the bottom of the column. The stylus is positioned so as not to apply any tension to the nipple, but is positioned so that upon movement of the carriage, there is movement of the nipple. The stylus and sample portion inside the column are shown in phantom in Figure 3.

When testing a section of a glove palm or uniform thickness film, the sample is extended over the column's opening. The sample is fastened first with a rubber band and then securely fastened with a fine wire. The film should be applied so as not to be taut over the opening, so there should be some slack. The stylus, again attached to the movable carriage, is positioned at the center of the sample and positioned on the sample so that the previously loose sample is now taut, but not stressed. Similar to the set-up for a finger portion, there should be no movement of the stylus without movement of the sample. This set-up procedure is also used when a portion of the glove cuff is to be tested.

After the sample to be tested has been secured to the column and the stylus correctly positioned, the sample is tested. By testing, the stylus is moved downwardly into the column until the sample ruptures. During testing, the projectile moves at a consistent speed of 508.00 mm/min. After the sample has been ruptured, measurements and calculations are made. The distance traveled by the stylus between the starting point and the point of failure is measured. This is the penetration depth of a blunt object for the sample. It is referred to as the extension, and measured in millimeters. The load is measured in Newtons and is the amount of force applied to the sample by the stylus at rupture. Also, the thickness of the sample is measured as the average single wall thickness of the sample. This is also measured in millimeters. From these two measurements, the rupture strength/ resistance is calculated. This is calculated by the load, in Newtons normalized by the single wall thickness, in millimeters, by the following formula:

$$\text{Load/Thickness (N/mm)}$$

A reporting of only the force applied has been shown to be an incomplete representation of the glove sample performance. It is important that the stylus travel distance be incorporated into calculations. In order to incorporate force, thickness and stylus travel distance in a reportable manner, the following equation has been adopted:

$$((0.5) * (\text{Stylus Travel Distance}(\text{mm})) * (\text{Normalized Load N/mm}))$$

If the normalized load, measured in Newtons per millimeter is plotted on a graph's Y axis and the stylus travel distance on the X axis, the utilized equation calculates the area of a representative triangle. Taking the area of the triangle approximates an integral. This approximation offers a quantitative value representative of both the force normalized by thickness and projectile travel thickness.

Altering the dimensions of the apparatus, such as the diameter of the column and the stylus would have an effect on the test results. Therefore, it is critical that all samples be tested on uniform equipment. If the diameter of the stylus is increased, a increase in the applied force results and the distance traveled by the stylus is decreased. Conversely, if the diameter of the stylus is decreased, a decrease in the force applied results and there is a resulted increase in the distance traveled by the stylus before rupture. The length of the column allows for a potentially longer distance for the stylus to travel before rupture. Therefore, the length of the column must be chosen so that the stylus can travel as far as necessary to achieve rupture. The dimensions of the stylus and column can be changed, but it is important that when comparing results between different tests, the dimensions of the apparatus be uniform. Since changing the dimensions would have an effect on the results, only results from identical apparatuses can be compared with one another.

The following chart indicates results from tests conducted with the disclosed method and the resulting calculation.

CUFF RUPTURE

|           | Max Load (N) | Load/Thickness (N/mm) | Mean Extension (mm) |
|-----------|--------------|-----------------------|---------------------|
| 1         | 20.844       | 157.91                |                     |
| 2         | 21.591       | 163.57                |                     |
| 3         | 20.124       | 152.45                |                     |
| 4         | 22.263       | 168.66                |                     |
| 5         | 24.946       | 188.98                |                     |
| Mean      | 21.954       | 166.32                | 88.49               |
| S.D.      | 1.854744807  | 14.05                 | 4.09                |
| Thickness | 0.132        |                       |                     |

Rupture Resistance ( $.05 * \text{Extension} * (\text{Load/Thickness})$ ) 7358.61

PALM RUPTURE

|           | Max Load (N) | Load/Thickness (N/mm) | Mean Extension (mm) |
|-----------|--------------|-----------------------|---------------------|
| 1         | 37.738       | 216.89                |                     |
| 2         | 28.899       | 166.09                |                     |
| 3         | 25.759       | 148.04                |                     |
| 4         | 26.573       | 152.72                |                     |
| 5         | 26.742       | 153.69                |                     |
| Mean      | 29.142       | 167.48                | 93.45               |
| S.D.      | 4.943549201  | 28.41                 | 7.1                 |
| Thickness | 0.174        |                       |                     |

Rupture Resistance ( $.05 * \text{Extension} * (\text{Load/Thickness})$ ) 7825.69

TIP RUPTURE

|           | Max Load (N) | Load/Thickness (N/mm) | Mean Extension (mm) |
|-----------|--------------|-----------------------|---------------------|
| 1         | 44.031       | 205.75                |                     |
| 2         | 49.555       | 231.57                |                     |
| 3         | 43.43        | 202.94                |                     |
| 4         | 43.428       | 202.93                |                     |
| 5         | 32.844       | 153.48                |                     |
| Mean      | 42.658       | 199.33                | 132.6               |
| S.D.      | 6.061295596  | 28.32                 | 9.59                |
| Thickness | 0.214        |                       |                     |

Rupture Resistance  $(.05 * \text{Extension} * (\text{Load/Thickness}))$  13215.88

While the invention has been described with reference to a preferred embodiment, variations and modifications would be apparent to one of ordinary skill in the art without departing from the scope of the invention. The method and apparatus of the invention have been described with reference to particular dimensions of the stylus and column, but other dimensions could be used without departing from the scope of the invention. The invention encompasses such variations and modifications and is defined by the appended claims.